



⑤

Lt. Cdr. RSNE Naik (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Mr. A. Ojha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. A.J. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that execution application be dismissed as infructuous as order has been implemented.</p> <p>Accordingly, execution application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"><div style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</div><div style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/SB</p>

①

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

14. M.A. No. 79 of 2021 with M.A. No. 80 of 2021 LTA in O.A. No. 156 of 2017

Ex. CHEAP Harjit Singh Dhillon
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Mr. Statendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. A.J. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution could not be complied with for the reason that respondents have filed leave to appeal against the decision of this Tribunal bearing M.A. No 80 of 2021 but the same has not been disposed off yet.</p> <p>Considering that in similar matter Govt. sanction has been obtained by the respondents, the reason assigned for not obtaining sanction in the case in hand does not seem to be genuine. However, keeping in view circumstances of the case, respondents are again allowed four weeks time to implement the order and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List after six weeks.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 79 of 2021 with M.A. No. 80 of 2021</u></p> <p>Heard Mr. Statendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. A.J. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is an application seeking leave to appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which is delayed by more than four years for which a delay condonation application has been filed. The reason assigned in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay being not sufficient, delay condonation application is dismissed.</p> <p>Accordingly, leave to appeal being time barred is also dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/SB</p>

②

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

15. M.A. No. 04 of 2021 (E) in O.A. No. 42 of 2019

Ex. GNR (DMT) Ketan Kumar Chaudhari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. A.J. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been implemented.</p> <p>Let affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO be filed within four weeks.</p> <p>List after four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>UKT/SB</p>

13

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

16. M.A. No. 54 of 2021 (E) in O.A. No. 82 of 2018

Ex. Rect. Ajay Vasant Shinde
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Ms. Sucheta D. Ghaisas, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Jaspreet Kaur, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Departmental Representative states that applicant's claim has already been processed for obtaining Govt. Sanction, therefore, some more time be granted to file affidavit of compliance. The order which is to be implemented is of dated 16.12.2019.</p> <p>On the last date also time was sought for implementation of order where it was directed that let order be complied with within four weeks failing which officer responsible for implementation of order shall remain present in the Tribunal to explain the reasons for non compliance of order. Despite the same officer responsible is not present today in the Court nor any reason assigned for his non appearance.</p> <p>Registry is, therefore, directed to issue notice to Maj Mahesh Kumar, SRO, Signal Records, Jabalpur directing that officer being responsible for implementation of order shall remain physically present in Tribunal on the date fixed to explain as to why cohesive <i>coercive</i> action be not taken against him for non compliance of order.</p> <p>List on 22.08.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral <i>Abhay</i> Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

33. R.A. No. 04 of 2022 in O.A. No. 116 of 2021

Sondkar Baburao Kanhoba
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Mr. A.P. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. B.K. Ashok, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dismissed</p> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"><div style="display: inline-block; width: 45%; text-align: left;"><p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p><p>UKT/SB</p></div><div style="display: inline-block; width: 45%; text-align: right;"><p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p></div></div>

(A)

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

35. M.A. No. 05 of 2017 in R.A. No. 02 of 2017 in O.A. No. 52 of 2015

Ex. Sep. Magdum Shivaji Gundu
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mr. M.V. Chavan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.K. Ashok, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 29.07.2022.</p> <p>Registry is directed to reflect name of Shri B.K. Ashok as counsel for the respondents in place of Shri A.J. Mishra when the case is next listed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/SB</p>

6

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

36. R.A. No. 6 of 2021 and M.A. No. 101 of 2021 in O.A. No. 84 of 2016

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Ex. Spr. Sudan Dagadu Kale
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Mr. A.J. Mishra, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicants (Union of India & Others).</p> <p>This application has been filed by Union of India & Others to review the order dated 30.04.2019 passed in O.A. No 84 of 2016 by which respondent herein has been allowed disability pension from three years prior to filing of application. Applicant's contention is that disability pension can be allowed from the date of RSMB and not from three years prior to filing of application.</p> <p>Shri A Kashid, learned counsel for the respondent herein is said to have died. Issue notice to applicant ^{respondent herein} to engage some other counsel in the matter.</p> <p>List after five weeks.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/SB</p>

(46)

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI
E-Court

37. R.A. No. 5 of 2016 & M.A. No. 44 of 2016 in O.A. No. 97 of 2014


Shri Rangro Laxman Kaundade
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mr. M.V. Chavan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. A.J. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 29.07.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: center;"></div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"><div style="text-align: center;"><p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p><p>UKT/SB</p></div><div style="text-align: center;"><p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p></div></div>